This article was downloaded by: On: 28 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Physics and Chemistry of Liquids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646857>

$\operatorname{Spin-polarized}\,$ electron liquid in CrO_2 under high pressure

G. G. N. Angilella^a; N. H. March^{bc}; R. Pucci^a a Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania, and CNISM, UdR Catania, and INFN, Sez., Catania, Via S. Sofia, 64, I-95123 Catania, Italy $^{\rm b}$ Oxford University, Oxford, UK $^{\rm c}$ Department of Physics, University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium

To cite this Article Angilella, G. G. N., March, N. H. and Pucci, R.(2007) 'Spin-polarized electron liquid in CrO₂ under high pressure', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 45: 1, 113 — 116

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00319100601039914 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319100601039914>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Letter

Spin-polarized electron liquid in $CrO₂$ under high pressure

G. G. N. ANGILELLA* \dagger , N. H. MARCH \ddagger § and R. PUCCI \dagger

yDipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Universita` di Catania, and CNISM, UdR Catania, and INFN, Sez. Catania, Via S. Sofia, 64, I-95123 Catania, Italy \uparrow Oxford University, Oxford, UK xDepartment of Physics, University of Antwerp, B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium

(Received 2 October 2006; in final form 2 October 2006)

Recent experiments on the suppression of ferromagnetism due to the spin-polarized electron liquid in half-metallic $CrO₂$ are combined with existing band structure calculations. A semi-empirical form of the variation of Curie temperature with pressure P for $P < 12$ GPa is thereby proposed, to be confirmed, or refined, following further high pressure experiments.

Keywords: Pressure; Spin-polarized electron liquid

There is a surprising variety of electrical and magnetic behavior among transition metal oxides having a rutile structure. Starting with $MnO₂$, this material is known to exhibit antiferromagnetic ordering (AFO). Also they may be insulating, as is the case for $TiO₂$, or metallic as for $RuO₂$. So far it appears that $CrO₂$, our main focus below, is the only member of this class of materials that is (a) metallic and (b) has ferromagnetic order (FO).

A few properties of chromium dioxide that are noteworthy are as follows: (i) $CrO₂$ is a metastable phase and heating at atmospheric pressure above 500–600 K leads to the formation of a stable oxide, namely Cr_2O_3 ; (ii) CrO_2 is used for magnetic recording, and the material production via high-pressure decomposition of $CrO₃$ is well established [1–3]; and (iii) tunneling experiments [4] have confirmed the half-metallic nature of $CrO₂$.

Our own interest in this material has been motivated by the very recent experiment of Sidorov et al. [3] on the influence of high pressure on the ferromagnetic transition temperature of $CrO₂$. In particular, the decrease in the Curie temperature T_C with applied pressure P was determined by these authors from measurements of magnetic AC-susceptibility.

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: giuseppe.angilella@ct.infn.it

Figure 1. Curie temperature $T_{\rm C}$ as function of pressure P. Experimental points have been redrawn from [3], while vertical dashed line at $P \approx 12 \text{ GPa}$ locates the phase boundary between the rutile and CaCl₂-type structures suggested in [3]. Solid line is then Sidorov *et al.*'s [3], linear fit satisfying equation (1), whereas dashed lines are quadratic approximants to our proposal, equation (5) below, with $P_c = 12, 50$ and 80 GPa (bottom to top) and $\gamma = 1/2$, again satisfying equation (1).

Figure 1 reports experimental data from [3], which were available for hydrostatic pressures $P \le 5$ GPa. From this figure, the suppression of ferromagnetism with pressure over this range is characterized by [3]

$$
\left. \frac{d T_C}{dP} \right|_{P=0} = -4.4 \text{ K} \text{ GPa}^{-1}.
$$
 (1)

This experimental fact [3] has been compared with the theoretical study of Matar and Demazeau [5] using the so-called augmented spherical wave–local spin density approximation (ASW–LSDA), in which band structure calculations for $CrO₂$ were performed at different pressures using experimentally determined lattice constants [5]. These calculations also predict such a decrease in T_C with pressure, as well as the complete disappearance of ferromagnetism at 120–150 GPa. But, as figure 1 indicates, such a prediction is not to be compared, at least directly, with experiment, since X-ray diffraction [5,6] and Raman scattering [6] measurements demonstrated that the tetragonal rutile lattice is stable for $CrO₂$ up to 12 GPa. Then, higher hydrostatic pressure leads to a modest orthorhombic distortion and a phase change to the $CaCl₂$ -type structure [6]. Though the prediction of Matar and Demazeau [5] as to the disappearance of ferromagnetism at much higher pressures is not directly testable because of this phase transition, nevertheless their ASW–LSDA band structure calculations yield the estimate [3,5]

$$
\frac{dT_C}{dP} \approx -\frac{T_C(0)}{P_c} = -3.4 \text{ K} \text{ GPa}^{-1},\tag{2}
$$

which is reasonably consistent [3] with the experimental slope in equation (1). Here, $T_{\rm C}(0)$ is the Curie temperature at atmospheric pressure, while $P_{\rm c} = 120$ GPa is the calculated critical pressure, at which $T_{\rm C}$ vanishes [5].

Considering this 'hypothetical' transition near P_c and at low temperatures, the connection focussed on by Bhatia and March [7] (see also [8]) relating dT_C/dP to elementary excitations yields the analog of the Clausius–Clapeyron equation as

$$
\frac{d T_C}{d P} = \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta S}; \quad P \to P_c,
$$
\n(3)

where ΔV is the volume change across the phase transition from FO for $P_c < 120$ GPa to assumed paramagnetism for $P_c > 120 \text{ GPa}$, and ΔS is the corresponding entropy change. Both theory and experiment then suggest that ΔV and ΔS must have opposite signs. We expect the FMO phase to have lower entropy away from $T = 0$ than the paramagnetic phase, and therefore if $\Delta V = V_P - V_F$, and ΔS similarly, ΔS is positive and ΔV is therefore negative. Furthermore, from the Third Law of Thermodynamics, we expect $\Delta S \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$, and since ΔV can be anticipated to remain finite at the phase transition, we find

$$
\frac{d T_C}{d P} \to -\infty: \quad P \to P_c. \tag{4}
$$

Though we have not a first-principles approach to data, we propose now a semiempirical method to estimate $T_C(P)$ over a much wider pressure range than in figure 1, but we stress, in the rutile structure throughout. To accomodate the behavior of equations (3) and (4), we assume that $T_c \to \text{const} \times (P_c - P)^\gamma$ as $P \to P_c$, where $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then, with one additional parameter α , we propose the interpolation formula

$$
\frac{T_{\rm C}}{T_{\rm C}(0)} = \frac{1}{\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(1 - (P/P_{\rm c}))^{-\gamma}}.
$$
\n(5)

To guide the representation of the data of Sidorov et al. [3] over the admittedly limited range of pressure indicated in figure 1, we have drawn in a linear fit of $T_{\rm C}$ versus P according to equation (1) given in [3] in figure 2. Since this figure indicates a critical pressure near to 90 GPa, we have fitted equation (5) to accord equation (1) for three different values of P_c , namely 80, 50, and 12 GPa. The curves shown are for $\gamma = 1/2$ and $\gamma = 1/3$ in equation (5). We suspect from the behavior for $P_c = 80$ GPa that if this is indeed near to the correct critical pressure for the rutile structure of CrO₂, then γ should be somewhat less than 1/2, say $\nu = 1/3$.

To summarize, we have made proposals that suggest that the ferromagnetism in a rutile structure of $CrO₂$, if it could be stabilized, should be suppressed by significantly lower pressures than 120–150 GPa. We give some evidence, though semi-empirical rather than from first principles, that the critical pressure P_c may be <80 GPa. Further experiments out to 12 GPa could confirm or, if necessary, refine our proposed behavior of $T_{\rm C}(P)$ in equation (5).

Figure 2. Plots our proposed semi-empirical dependence of T_c over pressures $P \le 80$ GPa, equation (5), assuming that no structural phase transition occurs in this pressure range. Light dashed line is Sidorov et al.'s linear fit, equation (1), solid lines represent equation (5) with $\gamma = 1/2$, while dashed lines are for $\gamma = 1/3$. Different curves are for $P_c = 12, 50$ and 80 GPa (bottom to top).

Acknowledgements

One of authors (N.H.M.) wishes to acknowledge that his contribution to this Letter was made during a visit to the Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Catania. He thanks Prof. R. Pucci, Prof. E. Rimini, and Prof. F. Catara for generous hospitality.

References

- [1] B. Kubota. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc., 44 239 (1961).
- [2] Y. Shibasaki, F. Kanamaru, M. Koizumi, S. Kume. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc., 56 248 (1973).
- [3] V.A. Sidorov, A.V. Rakhmanina, O.A. Morya. Solid State Commun., 139 360 (2006).
- [4] R.J. Soulen Jr, J.M. Byers, M.S. Osofsky, B. Nadgorny, T. Ambrose, S.F. Cheng, P.R. Broussard, C.T. Tanaka, J. Nowak, J.S. Moodera, A. Barry, J.M.D. Coey. Science, 282 85 (1998).
- [5] S.F. Matar, G. Demazeau. Chem. Phys. Lett., 407 516 (2005).
- [6] B. Maddox, C. Yoo, V. Iota, D. Kasinathan, W. Pickett, R. Scalettar. Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc., 49 408 (2004).
- [7] A.B. Bhatia, N.H. March. Phys. Lett. A, 51 401 (1975).
- [8] N.H. March, M. Parrinello. Collective Effects in Solids and Liquids, A. Hilger, Bristol (1982).